



Department of Corrections and Community Supervision

Office of Special Investigations

Inaugural Quarterly Legislative Report

January 1, 2026 – March 31, 2026

Introduction

In early 2026, Governor Kathy Hochul and the New York State Legislature passed the prison reform omnibus bill, enacting a series of changes to improve safety, security and accountability within New York's prisons. As required by the omnibus bill, the New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision (DOCCS), Office of Special Investigations (OSI), will provide data on a quarterly basis concerning OSI's complaints and cases.¹ This report will also highlight notable investigative outcomes from the reporting period to provide context for the statistical data. Appended to this report are data tables that will be referenced throughout this document. This is the first of such reports, covering the time frame from January 1, 2026, through March 31, 2026.

Among its public safety mission, DOCCS is responsible for providing a safe, humane, and lawful environment for all incarcerated individuals within a state correctional facility, as well as for visitors, volunteers, releasees to community supervision (parole), and Departmental employees. The primary responsibility of OSI is to vigorously pursue justice through fair, thorough, and impartial investigations and foster accountability, integrity, and safety within the Department.²

As of March 31, 2026, the Office of Special Investigations has over 300 members across seven investigative divisions, and one multi-disciplinary division with adjunct functions. The OSI Executive Team includes the Deputy Commissioner / Chief, the Assistant Commissioner / Assistant Chief, and the Director of Operations. The staffing allocation for the divisions can be found in Table 1. OSI continues to recruit and hire additional investigative staff to expand our capabilities and ensure sufficient resources.

The organizational structure and collaborative approach of OSI results in the detection, prevention and elimination of criminal activity, misconduct, fraud, waste, abuse, corruption, and other improper behavior within the Department, as well as the apprehension and transportation of absconders, escapees, and fugitives.³ Below is a brief description of each OSI division and their mission.

¹ New York State Governor's Office, *Governor Hochul Signs Landmark Legislation to Improve Safety, Security, and Accountability within New York's Prisons*. December 19, 2025. [Governor Hochul Signs Landmark Legislation to Improve Safety, Security and Accountability Within New York's Prisons | Governor Kathy Hochul](#)

² Department of Corrections and Community Supervision, Directive 0700, Office of Special Investigations.

³ Department of Corrections and Community Supervision, Directive 0700, Office of Special Investigations.

OSI Division

Division Mission

- Canine Division The Canine Division is responsible for deterring, detecting, and interdicting dangerous drugs and electronic contraband; tracking individuals; and otherwise enhancing the safety of all employees, incarcerated individuals, releasees, volunteers, visitors, and others within DOCCS' system.
- Criminal Investigation Division (East / West) The Criminal Investigations Division (CID) investigates a range of criminal activities, including but not limited to the following: violent acts resulting in serious injury or death, threats of violence, gun trafficking, dangerous drug distribution and possession, biological threats, promoting prison contraband, extortion schemes, aggravated harassment of staff, and criminal organizations engaged in extremist violence or terrorist activities. CID also provides investigative assistance, intelligence, and information to law enforcement agencies and prosecutors' offices.
- Fugitive Investigation Division The Fugitive Investigations Division (FID) specializes in fugitive investigations, fugitive apprehensions, and safely returning fugitives to New York State. FID apprehends parole absconders who participate in the Department's Supervision Against Violent Engagement (SAVE) program. The fugitive investigations include planning of dynamic high-risk entries within the scope and context of locating and arresting the Department's most dangerous fugitives. FID returns out-of-state incarcerated individuals back to New York who have violated their conditions of release in Community Supervision and assists with the transportation of Strict and Intensive Supervision and Treatment (SIST) cases. FID also handles "cold case" warrants and is responsible for the Department's "Most Wanted" webpage.
- Intake, Training, and Analysis Division The Intake, Training, and Analysis Division (ITAD) is responsible for the processing and management of OSI complaints and case files; coordinating the training of OSI members; and the strategic analysis of data to identify and prioritize OSI's responses to emerging issues and to reduce risk and provides a tactical, analytical role on major cases to enhance investigations. ITAD is responsible for the oversight of OSI's evidence storage and management. ITAD further assists with OSI's special operations, intelligence collection and analysis, and program development to advance OSI's mission.

- Investigative Counsel Division

The Investigative Counsel Division (ICD) consists of licensed attorneys who assist OSI's other divisions with the legal aspects of OSI's investigations, particularly on major and complex investigations, helping to ensure the evidence meets legal and evidentiary standards. Investigative Counsels further review OSI cases to ensure the highest quality review and quality control across OSI's investigations. Investigative Counsels also develop recommendations to improve operations and mitigate risk. The Investigative Counsel Division also provides OSI with other legal analysis and support related to OSI's mission on an as needed basis, including reviewing OSI cases related to litigation in coordination with Counsel's Office.
- Public Integrity Division (East / West)

The Public Integrity Division (PID) investigates a range of allegations of misconduct, both criminal and internal policy violations, to ensure the integrity of the Department, the safety of all within the DOCCS system, and the protection of those within the state's correctional facilities, under community supervision, and the public. PID, for example, investigates allegations of physical and sexual abuse of incarcerated individuals by employees; staff misconduct, sexual harassment, and other forms of serious harassment and threatening behavior; unattended deaths of incarcerated individuals in facilities; escape plots; and any other alleged misconduct having a nexus to DOCCS that is not covered by another OSI Division.

I. How the Office of Special Investigations (OSI) Receives Complaints.

The OSI Division responsible for the receipt, review, and appropriate dissemination of complaints or allegations is the Intake, Training, and Analysis Division (ITAD), specifically the Intake Unit within ITAD. There are four main avenues for filing a complaint with OSI: post mail, website submission, email to the Intake Unit, and OSI's complaint hotline. While these are the primary ways to submit complaints or allegations to OSI, there are also inter-departmental referrals, as well as outside agency and partner agency referrals. The Intake Unit may also coordinate complaint review with partner organizations including the State Commission of Corrections (SCOC), the New York State Inspector General (NYSIG), and the Correctional Association of New York (CANY).

During this reporting period, OSI received 6,935 complaints. Detailed information concerning these complaints is in Table 2.

II. Types of Complaints Received and Where are they Referred.

It is the primary responsibility of the Intake Unit, to determine if the complaint, or allegation, falls within the purview of OSI, a correctional facility / community supervision office, or other responsible party, including outside law enforcement.

Allegations of criminal or administrative misconduct are referred to the appropriate investigative division, including but not limited to, serious assault, excessive use of force, contraband smuggling, sexual abuse allegations, unauthorized relationship between an employee and an incarcerated individual, threats of violence, escape plots, and unattended deaths of incarcerated individuals.

The Intake Unit may also receive complaints that are more appropriately handled by a correctional facility or community supervision office. Many of these complaints from incarcerated individuals and parolees fall within the purview of the Department's established grievance program.⁴ Examples include issues such as commissary, packages, programming, medical care, time computation, extended curfews, or unjustified GPS monitoring. These types of complaints are sent to the Superintendent / Bureau Chief, and facility / area office supervisors for review and appropriate action.

Additional information about the types of complaints OSI received during this reporting period, as well as referral location, can be found in Table 3 and Table 4.

III. OSI Investigations – Case Information

Complaints investigated by OSI are assigned to OSI personnel as a “case.” Investigations utilize both traditional and cutting-edge investigative methods to gather the facts surrounding an incident or course of conduct to either hold people accountable for their misconduct or exonerate them. There are fundamental investigative steps taken with each OSI case, however, depending on the type of case, additional factors play a role in whether a compelled interview is conducted, subpoenas are issued, or federally required forms are completed (e.g. SSV-IA, SARI). These investigative steps can influence the length of time a case is open, and ultimately whether OSI can substantiate, unsubstantiate, or unfound the allegation.

Whether a case is substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded is based upon the evidence found by OSI during the investigation. "Substantiated" means an allegation that was investigated was determined to have occurred. An allegation is determined to have occurred based on a preponderance of the evidence standard. "Unfounded" means an allegation that was investigated was determined not to have occurred based on the evidence. "Unsubstantiated" means the investigation produced insufficient evidence to make a final determination as to whether or not the event occurred. During the time period

⁴ See Directives 4040 (Incarcerated Grievance Program) and 9402 (Parolee Grievance Program).

from January 1, 2026, through March 31, 2026, OSI substantiated 1,688 cases, unsubstantiated 1,065 cases, and unfounded 492 cases (see Table 9).

From January 1, 2026, through March 31, 2026, OSI opened approximately 3,439 new cases for investigation across the Canine Division (K9D), Criminal Investigation Division (CID), Fugitive Investigations Division (FID), and Public Integrity Division (PID). The majority of the cases are associated with a correctional facility; however, there are cases the investigative divisions may have classified as “Other”, which can encompass absconder cases and releasee arrests (see Table 5). For example:

On March 10, 2026, a releasee was arrested in Orleans County as the result of coordinated efforts by OSI’s K9D, CID, Community Supervision, and local law enforcement. OSI was in receipt of information that the releasee was in possession of firearms, and a search of the releasee’s approved residence yielded two firearms, ammunition, and a dagger. The releasee was charged with three counts of Criminal Possession of a Weapon 3rd (D Felony), as well as Aggravated Unlicensed Operation of a Motor Vehicle in the 1st (E Felony), Failure to Yield Right of Way to an Emergency Vehicle and Driving While Intoxicated (A Misdemeanor).

During this reporting period, the Criminal Investigation Division opened cases mostly attributable to contraband drugs, weapons, and electronic devices. The Public Integrity Division opened cases mostly attributable to alleged staff misconduct and unauthorized relationships. It is not uncommon for a case that originates out of CID for contraband – electronics (i.e., cellular phone), to reveal evidence that necessitates a PID investigation because of staff misconduct, unauthorized relationships between staff and an incarcerated individual, or other administrative or criminal activity contained on the phone. For example:

On March 12, 2026, a former Correction Officer from Fishkill CF was charged with Rape 3rd (E Felony), Promoting Prison Contraband (D Felony), and Official Misconduct (A misdemeanor) in Dutchess County. The arrest stemmed from a 2025 OSI investigation that started with a contraband cellphone recovered inside the cell of an incarcerated individual (I/I). Forensic examination of the cellphone revealed evidence of an unauthorized relationship between the I/I and a Correction Officer. OSI conducted a subsequent voluntary interview of the Correction Officer at which time the Officer admitted to bringing contraband into the correctional facility, engaging in serious misconduct with an incarcerated individual including unlawful sexual intercourse and unauthorized communications.

More information about cases involving accused staff members can be found in Table 10.

Arguably as important as the ability to substantiate or unsubstantiate an allegation is the ability to unfound one. When a case is unfounded, it means there is substantial evidence to support the conclusion that the alleged activity did not occur. For example:

On January 10, 2026, an Incarcerated Individual (I/I) at Marcy CF alleged that he woke up in his bed bleeding, and a Correction Officer was standing over him. At the time, OSI investigated a possible assault by staff. OSI investigators conducted interviews, collected documents, and reviewed Body-Worn Camera (BWC) footage. The footage clearly showed the I/I was impaired, and was being assisted to his bed by other I/Is. The facility dispatched emergency personnel, and the I/I was observed on camera losing his balance and hitting his head on his locker causing a laceration. Furthermore, the I/I was found in possession of a 7 ¼ ice pick which was concealed inside a pen in the I/I's pocket. Based on video footage and facility documentation, the allegation of staff assault was unfounded.

IV. OSI Case Referrals

The Office of Special Investigations is responsible for conducting investigations, while any administrative action taken involving Department staff, including notices of discipline or administrative leave, is the province of the Department's Bureau of Labor Relations (BLR). A referral to BLR does not always result in disciplinary action, as BLR makes an independent assessment of the matter and determines the appropriate corrective action, ranging from retraining to termination. Information about the number of OSI referrals to BLR can be found in Table 6.

When criminal activity is perpetrated within a correctional facility, the Department and OSI refer cases to external law enforcement entities for potential criminal prosecution. During this reporting period, the Department referred 358 cases for criminal prosecution (see Tables 7 and 8). These referrals encompass the alleged criminal actions of incarcerated individuals, staff, and/or civilians (e.g. visitors arrested for smuggling contraband into a correctional facility). For example:

On January 15, 2026, OSI received a request from a facility superintendent to investigate an Unusual Incident Report (UIR) concerning an event that occurred on December 3, 2025. The review of video footage and facility documentation by OSI revealed that a Correction Officer allowed an I/I to assault another I/I in the shower area. The victim I/I sustained several lacerations and had significant loss of blood. Fixed camera footage captured the assault, and BWC footage revealed conversations between the Officer and the suspect I/I, where the Officer gave tacit "approval" for the assault to occur. On March 9, 2026, OSI conducted a compelled interview of the Officer, and the Officer subsequently resigned from the Department. The case was referred by OSI to the local District Attorney's Office for a criminal prosecution of both the assault perpetrated by the incarcerated individual and the former employee who allowed the assault to occur in violation of his duties.

This case serves as an example of the Department and OSI seeking to hold perpetrators accountable for their criminal misconduct.

Conclusion

The Department and the Office of Special Investigations are committed to addressing and preventing misconduct in all its forms. This report, pursuant to the prison reform omnibus bill,⁵ demonstrates through statistics and case examples of the continuous efforts to achieve these goals during the first quarter of 2026. It highlights successes and areas for potential improvement in the future. Commissioner Daniel F. Martuscello III and the Department are committed to transparency and accountability as we continue to improve DOCCS and its ability to provide a safe and dignified correctional environment.

Prepared by:
Office of Special Investigations - Intake, Training, and Analysis Division

⁵ New York State Governor's Office, *Governor Hochul Signs Landmark Legislation to Improve Safety, Security, and Accountability within New York's Prisons*. December 19, 2025. [Governor Hochul Signs Landmark Legislation to Improve Safety, Security and Accountability Within New York's Prisons | Governor Kathy Hochul](#)

Table Reference

The Table Reference section of the report is intended to explain the contents of the data tables appended to this report.

Table 1. Office of Special Investigations Staffing Levels

- The staffing numbers for the Office of Special Investigations (OSI) are current as of the end of this reporting period by Division and by Rank/Title. It also reflects the number of remaining vacancies, and of those vacancies, how many appointments are pending as allotted by OSI's Budget Fill Level (BFL) for this reporting period.

Table 2. Source of Complaints submitted to OSI

- There are several sources by which OSI receives complaints. The largest number of complaints are filed through the OSI hotline which can be reached by incarcerated individuals directly from their tablets or their facility's phone system, as well as by members of the public and employees. The second largest source of complaints submitted to OSI is through a webmail form on the Department's website. OSI also receives complaints from other sources, including but not limited to Suspicious Activity Reports (SAR) that are submitted when tablet messages are flagged as a possible user violation (e.g. potential drug activity). Each of the complaints in the table are categorized numerically by the source OSI received the information, and the correctional facility associated with the complaint information. It is important to note that OSI often receives the same complaint from multiple sources, and each source is reflected in the table, regardless of whether it is a duplicative complaint.

Table 3. The Type of Complaint Submitted to OSI

- The proper documentation of the type of complaint OSI receives is foundational to a timely and accurate referral to an OSI investigative division, or referral to the appropriate person or entity. While each complaint is evaluated individually, there are approximately 20 categories that OSI will classify a complaint in. Within each category, there could be multiple sub-categories that address the unique specificity of an allegation or grievance. In most circumstances, a complaint will be associated with multiple sub-categories, and that is numerically reflected in the table. An example would be in the category Facility Conditions / Operations. If a complaint contains allegations of multiple issues that would be within purview of Facility Conditions / Operations (e.g. commissary, law library, or package room) each of those are documented; however, they are classified under a single complaint.

Table 4. Referral Locations for Complaints Submitted to OSI

- The Office of Special Investigations – Intake Unit triages thousands of complaints a month and will refer them to an OSI investigative division for case consideration, as well as to Superintendents and Executive Team members at Correctional Facilities, Bureau Chiefs at Community Supervision, to DOCCS Central Office, or any other appropriate jurisdictional body. In this reporting period, the OSI Intake Unit referred a number of complaints to the appropriate OSI investigative division and other

complaints to the proper correctional facility or other appropriate part of the Department to address. Furthermore, the Office of Special Investigations opens additional cases, particularly within the Fugitive Investigations Division and Canine Division, that are not processed through and referred by the Intake Unit; those cases are self-generated by the division as absconder warrants are received by OSI and canines indicate on people or property, respectively.

Table 5. Number of Cases Assigned to OSI

- During the reporting period, OSI assigned a number of cases across the investigative divisions. While a majority of the cases are associated with a correctional facility, OSI does have cases that are classified as “Other” with respect to the location of the incident / allegation. These are often absconder cases, releasee cases, or cross-jurisdictional investigations. At certain junctures in the investigative process, enough inculpatory evidence may be derived that warrants a referral to the Department’s Bureau of Labor Relations (BLR).

Table 6. Number of Referrals to the Bureau of Labor Relations (BLR)

- The adjudication of any disciplinary action within the Department falls within the scope of the BLR, not OSI. Due to the nature of certain investigations where multiple staff members may be alleged to have engaged in conduct that violates the employee manual and/or directives, one case may contain multiple individuals being referred for disciplinary review. There are also situations where a referral to BLR is made in tandem with a referral for criminal prosecution.

Table 7 and 8. Number of Referrals for Criminal Prosecution

- When an OSI investigation derives enough inculpatory evidence that appears to meet the standard of proof for a criminal prosecution referral, the respective OSI division will contact the appropriate county district attorney’s office and brief the case. Table 7 shows the number of referrals made when the subject of the referral was a staff member, an incarcerated individual/releasee or was a civilian/visitor. Table 8 expounds on the type of case allegation that OSI referred for criminal prosecution by the respective correctional facility where the alleged activity occurred.

Table 9, 10, 11, and 12. Number of OSI Cases Closed and Outcomes

- OSI utilizes several tools and investigative steps to conduct a fair, thorough investigation. The preponderance of evidence standard is used to assess if a case can be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. OSI investigations are also closed after a two-factor review. Both a Senior Investigator and an Assistant Deputy Chief Investigator review the case and prepare it for closure. Each of these tables shows the number of cases OSI closed during the report period, and further detailed breakdowns of cases that were closed with a disposition that involved subjects that were suspected staff, suspected incarcerated individuals, or suspected visitors / civilians.

Table 1. Office of Special Investigations Staffing Levels

Office Of Special Investigations	Canine Division	Criminal Investigations Division - East	Criminal Investigations Division - West	Fugitive Investigations Division	Intake, Training, and Analysis Division	Investigative Counsel Division	Public Integrity Division - East	Public Integrity Division - West	Rank Staffing Totals (2026 - Q1)
Deputy Chief Investigator	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Assistant Deputy Chief Investigator	0	1	2	2	2	1	5	5	18
Senior Investigator	2	5	8	7	5	--	10	9	46
Investigator	31	23	18	47	15	--	47	40	221
Division Staffing Total (2026 - Q1)	34	30	29	57	23	2	63	55	293
Division Vacancies	4	6	2	2	8	2	21	26	71
Division Appointments Pending	4	1	1	0	3	0	10	9	28

Note: The Office of Speical Investigations has 11 administrative personnel.

Table 2. Source of Complaints Submitted to OSI
January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

Facility Associated with Complaint	Complaint Source									
	DOCCS Intra-departmental	DOCCS/ Exec. Chamber Letters	Jpay/SARs	OSI Hotline	OSI Letter/ Paper Mail	OSI Webmail	Other	Outside Agency	Outside Law Enforcement Agency	PREA Hotline
ADIRONDACK	3	0	1	15	0	7	9	0	0	0
ALBION	21	0	1	27	2	22	4	1	1	2
ALTONA	2	0	0	6	1	5	2	0	1	0
ATTICA	24	0	12	170	19	72	3	1	0	1
AUBURN	15	0	7	157	7	76	5	3	0	7
BARE HILL	3	0	0	2	0	4	4	0	0	0
BEDFORD HILLS	13	0	34	23	3	26	9	0	0	1
CAPE VINCENT	3	0	2	26	1	32	0	0	1	0
CAYUGA	10	1	7	62	4	54	3	1	0	0
CLINTON	12	1	11	172	11	102	92	2	0	1
COLLINS	11	0	8	61	4	31	11	2	0	0
COXSACKIE	18	1	7	168	3	52	44	1	0	13
EASTERN	70	1	4	71	5	49	7	2	3	0
EDGECOMBE	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0
ELMIRA	14	2	8	109	13	83	8	2	5	0
FISHKILL	77	0	10	157	11	101	29	0	3	3
FIVE POINTS	13	0	13	300	12	76	8	3	1	7
FRANKLIN	4	1	14	130	6	55	15	1	0	0
GOUVERNEUR	4	0	3	49	3	51	3	0	1	0
GREAT MEADOW	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
GREEN HAVEN	35	0	14	176	19	95	11	7	2	3
GREENE	21	1	9	134	3	91	43	4	0	1
GROVELAND	4	0	3	45	1	25	4	0	2	0
HALE CREEK	3	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0
HUDSON	2	1	1	12	1	8	4	0	1	0
LAKEVIEW	11	0	9	25	1	13	2	1	1	0
MARCY	10	0	1	65	0	31	0	3	4	0
MID-STATE	16	0	6	114	5	59	12	3	3	2
MOHAWK	12	0	4	99	1	50	4	1	1	0
ORLEANS	11	0	9	73	2	39	7	0	0	0
OTHER	121	0	1	39	8	90	76	3	176	1
OTISVILLE	13	0	9	9	4	11	3	0	0	0
QUEENSBORO	1	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0
RIVERVIEW	4	1	0	24	0	23	3	1	0	0
SHAWANGUNK	9	0	1	35	2	26	1	1	1	2
SING SING	34	0	12	134	10	60	14	4	4	1
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
TACONIC	3	0	1	12	3	4	1	0	0	0
ULSTER	32	0	2	31	1	13	7	0	1	0
UPSTATE	23	0	8	55	28	82	13	7	2	3
WALLKILL	46	0	2	12	2	19	4	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	7	0	7	68	1	36	21	1	0	1
WENDE	14	0	1	88	3	34	5	0	0	2
WOODBOURNE	26	0	7	58	0	15	8	0	4	3
WYOMING	8	1	9	54	3	29	15	1	0	0
Grand Total	784	11	258	3,072	205	1,760	517	56	218	54

Note: OSI often receives the same complaint from multiple sources. Each source is represented in this table, and includes duplicative complaints. (See Table Reference for more information).



Table 3. Type of Complaint Submitted to OSI
January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

Facility Associated with Complaint	Assault on I/I	Assault on Staff	Community Supervision	Contraband - Drugs	Contraband - Electronic	Employee Criminal Activity	Employee Misconduct	Escape / Paraphernalia	Fac. Conditions / Operations	Fraud	Harrasment by I/I
ADIRONDACK	0	0	0	10	0	0	1	0	11	0	1
ALBION	11	0	0	2	0	0	10	1	17	0	13
ALTONA	1	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	5	0	1
ATTICA	9	0	0	8	1	0	33	0	255	0	27
AUBURN	23	0	0	6	1	1	31	0	184	0	30
BARE HILL	2	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	0	1
BEDFORD HILLS	17	0	0	7	1	7	27	0	32	0	6
CAPE VINCENT	10	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	47	1	0
CAYUGA	7	0	0	4	3	0	24	0	86	0	8
CLINTON	14	1	0	80	3	0	25	1	261	0	20
COLLINS	9	0	1	21	4	0	17	1	78	0	9
COXSACKIE	14	1	2	30	4	3	25	0	154	0	34
EASTERN	5	1	0	54	8	1	19	0	76	0	13
EDGECOMBE	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
ELMIRA	10	0	0	8	5	4	15	2	150	1	8
FISHKILL	44	0	1	73	24	1	39	0	201	0	41
FIVE POINTS	31	0	0	8	1	2	45	1	305	1	40
FRANKLIN	18	0	1	18	0	4	22	0	162	3	25
GOUVERNEUR	5	1	0	4	1	0	8	0	88	1	10
GREAT MEADOW	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
GREEN HAVEN	42	0	0	18	2	2	53	0	229	0	37
GREENE	27	1	2	28	4	0	23	0	175	2	52
GROVELAND	7	0	0	5	1	0	14	0	73	0	1
HALE CREEK	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	0
HUDSON	2	0	0	0	1	2	4	0	5	0	3
LAKEVIEW	6	0	0	1	0	0	6	1	38	0	2
MARCY	9	0	0	2	0	1	10	0	46	3	4
MID-STATE	29	0	1	8	2	1	27	0	115	0	32
MOHAWK	21	0	0	3	1	1	22	0	93	0	24
ORLEANS	17	0	0	14	1	0	11	0	98	0	6
OTHER	11	5	40	11	0	2	22	0	41	1	12
OTISVILLE	1	0	0	9	7	0	2	0	15	0	1
QUEENSBORO	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
RIVERVIEW	9	0	0	4	0	0	10	0	45	1	6
SHAWANGUNK	2	0	0	5	0	0	4	0	40	0	4
SING SING	20	0	1	21	14	4	45	0	125	0	22
TACONIC	1	0	0	5	0	1	5	0	12	1	3
ULSTER	7	0	0	24	0	1	11	0	40	0	4
UPSTATE	21	0	0	11	4	1	22	0	136	1	13
WALLKILL	6	0	0	34	6	0	9	0	12	1	0
WASHINGTON	12	0	1	17	2	1	20	0	68	2	19
WENDE	13	0	0	4	4	2	20	1	73	0	9
WOODBOURNE	3	1	0	19	3	0	18	0	48	1	8
WYOMING	14	1	0	26	1	0	13	0	73	0	7
Grand Total	510	12	50	611	109	42	731	8	3,717	20	556

Note: A complaint is often associated with multiple allegations. Totals in this table reflect all allegation types received, several which may have been contained within single complaint when sent for referral. (See Table Reference for more information).



Table 3. Type of Complaint Submitted to OSI
January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

Facility Associated with Complaint	Harrassment by Staff	I/I Death	Medical/OMH Issue	Other	PREA/UAR	Retaliation	Threats by I/I	Threats by Staff	Visitor Arrest
ADIRONDACK	4	0	8	11	1	0	1	0	0
ALBION	15	0	15	17	30	1	9	1	0
ALTONA	4	0	5	6	0	0	0	1	0
ATTICA	52	4	76	49	24	7	10	3	0
AUBURN	48	0	87	47	30	9	24	6	0
BARE HILL	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0
BEDFORD HILLS	13	5	12	18	15	0	4	2	0
CAPE VINCENT	11	0	9	11	4	1	3	6	0
CAYUGA	23	0	25	19	7	1	5	3	5
CLINTON	63	1	60	69	19	13	14	12	0
COLLINS	19	0	32	29	8	6	7	4	0
COXSACKIE	39	3	78	69	14	8	18	5	3
EASTERN	35	3	46	33	10	4	5	5	0
EDGECOMBE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
ELMIRA	39	1	87	39	19	4	7	6	1
FISHKILL	44	2	73	67	23	3	14	5	4
FIVE POINTS	62	1	187	65	27	13	27	6	5
FRANKLIN	41	0	65	37	10	8	7	7	0
GOVERNEUR	26	0	24	13	5	2	3	4	0
GREAT MEADOW	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
GREEN HAVEN	73	4	108	58	25	5	20	6	3
GREENE	31	1	36	75	21	4	26	13	5
GROVELAND	17	0	23	12	5	4	0	2	0
HALE CREEK	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
HUDSON	5	1	4	10	3	1	0	0	0
LAKEVIEW	12	0	24	17	12	3	4	2	0
MARCY	22	0	54	11	12	2	4	7	0
MID-STATE	38	1	75	37	23	2	12	11	3
MOHAWK	33	5	46	32	9	2	8	5	0
ORLEANS	18	1	31	16	9	7	1	3	6
OTHER	13	3	5	156	8	1	4	3	0
OTISVILLE	2	0	1	9	3	0	0	0	0
QUEENSBORO	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
RIVERVIEW	11	0	8	6	3	3	1	3	0
SHAWANGUNK	14	0	24	11	5	0	1	4	0
SING SING	45	2	66	37	26	4	9	8	1
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
TACONIC	5	0	1	4	6	0	1	0	0
ULSTER	6	1	13	14	4	2	2	1	0
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UPSTATE	33	0	44	38	35	7	4	4	0
WALLKILL	19	0	6	14	2	0	1	2	0
WASHINGTON	22	0	26	28	12	1	9	5	0
WENDE	26	4	61	17	12	3	3	5	0
WOODBOURNE	24	0	18	23	6	2	3	3	0
WYOMING	13	0	22	10	9	1	1	0	2
Grand Total	1,024	44	1,586	1,240	500	135	272	165	38

Note: A complaint is often associated with multiple allegations. Totals in this table reflect all allegation types received, several which may have been contained within single complaint when sent for referral. (See Table Reference for more information).

Table 4. Referral Locations for Complaints Submitted to OSI
January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

Facility Associated with Complaint Referral	Complaint Referral Location				
	OSI Case Consideration	Referred to Central Office	Referred to Community Supervision Area Office	Referred to Correctional Facility	Referred - Other
ADIRONDACK	4	0	1	9	0
ALBION	22	0	1	29	2
ALTONA	3	0	0	6	0
ATTICA	38	0	0	127	3
AUBURN	38	0	3	110	2
BARE HILL	4	0	0	1	0
BEDFORD HILLS	30	0	0	28	1
CAPE VINCENT	12	0	0	32	0
CAYUGA	32	0	0	70	1
CLINTON	34	0	2	134	4
COLLINS	24	0	0	40	3
COXSACKIE	48	0	3	102	1
EASTERN	22	0	0	59	2
EDGECOMBE	0	0	0	0	0
ELMIRA	39	0	4	98	3
FISHKILL	48	0	2	109	4
FIVE POINTS	46	0	3	148	0
FRANKLIN	33	0	1	77	1
GOUVERNEUR	14	0	0	55	2
GREAT MEADOW	1	0	0	0	1
GREEN HAVEN	50	0	1	131	4
GREENE	39	0	0	106	2
GROVELAND	21	0	0	24	0
HALE CREEK	2	0	0	2	0
HUDSON	8	0	0	7	0
LAKEVIEW	16	0	1	15	2
MARCY	14	0	1	44	3
MID-STATE	40	0	2	73	3
MOHAWK	24	0	1	66	1
ORLEANS	27	0	0	55	0
OTHER	72	0	22	16	44
OTISVILLE	10	0	0	13	1
QUEENSBORO	1	0	0	2	0
RIVERVIEW	13	0	0	27	0
SHAWANGUNK	7	0	1	34	3
SING SING	42	0	0	90	3
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0
TACONIC	4	0	0	10	0
ULSTER	36	0	0	13	2
UPSTATE	51	0	2	82	3
WALLKILL	15	0	1	15	1
WASHINGTON	15	0	1	51	0
WENDE	20	0	2	48	2
WOODBOURNE	34	0	0	32	0
WYOMING	22	0	0	49	1
Grand Total	1,075	0	55	2,239	105

Note: Referrals for case consideration are not indicative of all cases opened, and referrals to correctional facilities do not count duplicate complaints received.

Table 5. Number of Cases Assigned to OSI
January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

Facility Associated with Case Allegation(s)	OSI Case Assignment by Division						Totals By Facility
	Canine Division	Criminal Investigations Division - East	Criminal Investigations Division - West	Fugitive Investigations Division	Public Integrity Division - East	Public Integrity Division - West	
ADIRONDACK	0	13	0	0	4	0	17
ALBION	0	0	12	0	0	22	34
ALTONA	1	0	1	0	5	0	7
ATTICA	4	2	29	0	0	29	64
AUBURN	3	0	47	0	0	42	92
BARE HILL	0	6	0	0	6	0	12
BEDFORD HILLS	2	13	0	0	32	0	47
CAPE VINCENT	2	0	8	0	0	11	21
CAYUGA	9	0	39	0	1	17	66
CLINTON	2	100	2	0	42	1	147
COLLINS	9	0	26	0	0	21	56
COXSACKIE	5	55	0	0	30	0	90
EASTERN	10	72	2	0	15	0	99
EDGECOMBE	2	0	0	1	0	0	3
ELMIRA	8	3	30	0	0	24	65
FISHKILL	25	73	0	1	57	0	156
FIVE POINTS	8	1	34	0	1	45	89
FRANKLIN	7	24	0	0	24	0	55
GOVERNEUR	9	0	20	0	0	8	37
GREAT MEADOW	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
GREEN HAVEN	10	30	1	1	56	1	99
GREENE	8	58	0	0	33	0	99
GROVELAND	9	0	31	0	0	15	55
HALE CREEK	0	1	0	0	2	0	3
HUDSON	1	9	0	0	4	0	14
LAKEVIEW	2	0	9	0	0	15	26
MARCY	2	3	19	0	1	18	43
MID-STATE	7	2	32	0	1	39	81
MOHAWK	6	2	44	0	0	25	77
ORLEANS	19	0	21	0	1	23	64
OTHER	29	223	65	778	30	12	1,137
OTISVILLE	7	20	0	0	4	0	31
QUEENSBORO	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
RIVERVIEW	5	0	21	0	0	8	34
SHAWANGUNK	2	4	0	0	3	0	9
SING SING	13	38	0	0	36	0	87
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
TACONIC	1	0	0	0	7	0	8
ULSTER	9	26	0	0	14	0	49
UPSTATE	8	18	1	0	56	0	83
WALLKILL	10	42	0	0	8	0	60
WASHINGTON	2	28	1	0	21	0	52
WENDE	6	1	28	0	0	18	53
WOODBOURNE	5	35	0	0	12	0	52
WYOMING	20	0	26	0	0	15	61
Totals by Division	287	902	549	781	511	409	3,439



Table 6. Number of Referrals to BLR
January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

Facility Associated with Case Referral	Total Referrals
ADIRONDACK	0
ALBION	5
ALTONA	1
ATTICA	6
AUBURN	2
BARE HILL	3
BEDFORD HILLS	4
CAPE VINCENT	5
CAYUGA	3
CLINTON	5
COLLINS	2
COXSACKIE	30
EASTERN	2
EDGECOMBE	0
ELMIRA	2
FISHKILL	1
FIVE POINTS	7
FRANKLIN	3
GOUVERNEUR	8
GREAT MEADOW	0
GREEN HAVEN	6
GREENE	8
GROVELAND	2
HALE CREEK	1
HUDSON	1
LAKEVIEW	2
MARCY	5
MID-STATE	7
MOHAWK	4
ORLEANS	1
OTHER	5
OTISVILLE	0
QUEENSBORO	0
RIVERVIEW	1
SHAWANGUNK	0
SING SING	8
SULLIVAN	0
TACONIC	1
ULSTER	9
UPSTATE	4
WALLKILL	2
WASHINGTON	4
WENDE	3
WOODBOURNE	3
WYOMING	15
Total	181



Table 7. Number of Referrals for Criminal Prosecution - by Subject
January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

Facility	Staff Referral	I/I / Releasee Referral	Other	Total
ADIRONDACK	0	0	0	0
ALBION	2	1	0	3
ALTONA	0	0	0	0
ATTICA	1	15	4	20
AUBURN	0	2	0	2
BARE HILL	0	0	0	0
BEDFORD HILLS	0	3	1	4
CAPE VINCENT	0	5	0	5
CAYUGA	1	1	5	7
CLINTON	0	33	0	33
COLLINS	0	3	8	11
COXSACKIE	2	18	1	21
EASTERN	0	4	0	4
EDGECOMBE	0	0	0	0
ELMIRA	0	10	6	16
FISHKILL	0	17	4	21
FIVE POINTS	0	14	5	19
FRANKLIN	1	0	0	1
GOUVERNEUR	0	3	1	4
GREAT MEADOW	0	0	0	0
GREEN HAVEN	1	3	7	11
GREENE	0	1	5	6
GROVELAND	0	4	2	6
HALE CREEK	0	0	0	0
HUDSON	0	0	0	0
LAKEVIEW	1	3	0	4
MARCY	0	8	3	11
MID-STATE	0	20	2	22
MOHAWK	0	14	0	14
ORLEANS	0	1	6	7
OTHER	0	5	0	5
OTISVILLE	0	0	3	3
QUEENSBORO	0	0	0	0
RIVERVIEW	0	3	0	3
SHAWANGUNK	0	0	0	0
SING SING	0	1	2	3
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0
TACONIC	0	0	0	0
ULSTER	0	1	1	2
UPSTATE	0	2	0	2
WALLKILL	1	5	0	6
WASHINGTON	0	11	0	11
WENDE	0	2	1	3
WOODBOURNE	2	1	0	3
WYOMING	0	11	2	13
Grand Total	12	225	69	306

Table 8. Number of Referrals for Criminal Prosecution - by Type

January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

Facility	Aggravated Harrassment	Assault on I/I	Assault on Staff	Contraband - Drug	Contraband - Electronic Device	Contraband - Other	Contraband - Weapon	Employee Misconduct	Fraud	Other	PREA	Threat	Unauthorized Relationship	Total
ADIRONDACK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ALBION	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3
ALTONA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ATTICA	4	4	1	3	0	0	2	0	1	4	0	1	0	20
AUBURN	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
BARE HILL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BEDFORD	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
CAPE VINCENT	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
CAYUGA	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	7
CLINTON	0	0	7	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
COLLINS	0	1	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	3	1	0	0	11
COXSACKIE	1	2	5	0	0	0	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	21
EASTERN	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
EDGECOMBE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ELMIRA	0	1	4	5	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
FISHKILL	0	2	0	5	7	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	21
FIVE POINTS	2	2	3	5	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	1	0	19
FRANKLIN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
GOVERNEUR	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
GREAT MEADOWS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GREEN HAVEN	1	0	2	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	11
GREENE	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
GROVELAND	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
HALE CREEK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUDSON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAKEVIEW	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
MARCY	4	1	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	11
MID-STATE	1	1	7	1	0	0	9	0	0	3	0	0	0	22
MOHAWK	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
ORLEANS	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
OTISVILLE	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
QUEENSBORO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RIVERVIEW	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
SHAWANGUNK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SING SING	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TACONIC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ULSTER	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
UPSTATE	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
WALLKILL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	6
WASHINGTON	0	1	1	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
WENDE	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
WOODBOURNE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	3
WYOMING	1	0	0	1	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Total	17	21	43	60	9	0	117	3	2	26	2	4	2	306



Table 9. Number of OSI Cases Closed - by Outcome

January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

Facility	Substantiated	Unsubstantiated	Unfounded
ADIRONDACK	4	3	0
ALBION	18	76	24
ALTONA	10	3	1
ATTICA	50	34	30
AUBURN	50	43	48
BARE HILL	10	13	1
BEDFORD HILLS	11	45	12
CAPE VINCENT	5	8	7
CAYUGA	53	22	8
CLINTON	99	75	10
COLLINS	29	25	20
COXSACKIE	57	36	19
EASTERN	48	17	9
EDGECOMBE	2	0	0
ELMIRA	39	37	11
FISHKILL	86	37	13
FIVE POINTS	30	44	28
FRANKLIN	21	21	3
GOUVERNEUR	21	15	8
GREAT MEADOW	3	10	0
GREEN HAVEN	57	15	21
GREENE	56	25	18
GROVELAND	35	13	8
HALE CREEK	0	1	1
HUDSON	5	3	3
LAKEVIEW	13	12	7
MARCY	41	40	18
MID-STATE	57	67	33
MOHAWK	67	45	14
ORLEANS	27	17	10
OTHER	335	88	13
OTISVILLE	23	8	1
QUEENSBORO	1	0	0
RIVERVIEW	18	9	11
SHAWANGUNK	7	3	0
SING SING	74	24	9
SULLIVAN	4	0	1
TACONIC	2	11	0
ULSTER	30	13	5
UPSTATE	17	34	10
WALLKILL	15	0	0
WASHINGTON	21	22	10
WENDE	28	14	26
WOODBOURNE	32	23	8
WYOMING	57	14	13
Total	1,668	1,065	492



Table 10. Number of OSI Cases Closed - Cases with Accused Staff

January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

Facility	Accused Staff Member	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated	Unfounded	Total
ALBION	Correction Sergeant	1	0	0	1
	Correction Officer	59	4	8	71
	Nurse	1	0	0	1
	Sergeant	2	0	1	3
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	32	2	2	36
	ALBION Total	95	6	11	112
ALTONA	Chaplain	0	1	0	1
	Correction Officer	6	0	0	6
	Nurse	1	0	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	0	1	0	1
	ALTONA Total	7	2	0	9
ATTICA	Correction Captain	1	0	0	1
	Correction Lieutenant	1	0	0	1
	Correction Sergeant	1	0	1	2
	Correction Officer	13	1	8	22
	Medical Staff	1	0	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	3	0	0	3
	ATTICA Total	20	1	9	30
AUBURN	Correction Sergeant	1	0	0	1
	Correction Officer	12	1	7	20
	Nurse	1	0	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	1	0	2	3
	AUBURN Total	15	1	9	25
BARE HILL	Chaplain	0	1	0	1
	Correction Officer	2	0	0	2
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	1	0	0	1
	Vocational Instructor	1	0	0	1
	BARE HILL Total	4	1	0	5
BEDFORD HILLS	Correction Officer	31	2	4	37
	Cook	1	0	0	1
	Social Worker	1	0	0	1
	Nurse	1	0	0	1
	Medical Staff	1	0	0	1
	Correction Sergeant	1	0	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	16	0	0	16
	BEDFORD HILLS Total	52	2	4	58
CAPE VINCENT	Correction Officer	2	1	2	5
	CAPE VINCENT Total	2	1	2	5
CAYUGA	Correction Officer	2	3	1	6
	Nurse	0	1	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	1	0	0	1
	CAYUGA Total	3	4	1	8



Table 10. Number of OSI Cases Closed - Cases with Accused Staff

January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

CLINTON	Correction Officer	17	0	1	18
	Correction Officer	1	0	0	1
	Correction Officer	1	0	0	1
	CLINTON Total	19	0	1	20
COLLINS	Correction Officer	2	0	2	4
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	0	0	1	1
	COLLINS Total	2	0	3	5
COXSACKIE	Chaplain	0	1	0	1
	Physician	1	0	0	1
	Correction Officer	5	27	16	48
	Vocational Instructor	0	1	0	1
	COXSACKIE Total	6	29	16	51
EASTERN	Correction Sergeant	0	0	1	1
	Correction Officer	4	1	7	12
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	0	0	2	2
	EASTERN Total	4	1	10	15
ELMIRA	Correction Officer	15	1	0	16
	Office Assistant	1	0	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	1	0	0	1
	ELMIRA Total	17	1	0	18
FISHKILL	Correction Officer	0	3	0	3
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	0	1	0	1
	FISHKILL Total	0	4	0	4
FIVE POINTS	Substance Abuse Counselor	1	0	0	1
	Asst. Deputy Superintendent	1	0	0	1
	Correction Lieutenant	1	0	0	1
	Correction Sergeant	3	0	2	5
	Correction Officer	34	2	5	41
	Social Worker	1	0	0	1
	Correction Lieutenant	1	0	0	1
	Nurse	1	0	0	1
	Offender Rehab Coordinator	1	0	0	1
	Nurse	1	0	1	2
	Superintendent	1	0	0	1
	Teacher	1	0	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	4	0	0	4
	FIVE POINTS Total	51	2	8	61
FRANKLIN	Chaplain	0	1	0	1
	Correction Sergeant	0	1	0	1
	Correction Officer	9	3	1	13
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	1	0	0	1
	FRANKLIN Total	10	5	1	16



Table 10. Number of OSI Cases Closed - Cases with Accused Staff

January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

GOUVERNEUR	Correction Sergeant	2	0	0	2
	Correction Officer	5	3	3	11
	Cook	1	0	0	1
	Nurse	1	0	0	1
	Nurse	1	0	0	1
	Recreation Program Leader	1	0	0	1
	Nurse	1	0	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	2	0	1	3
	GOUVERNEUR Total	14	3	4	21
GREAT MEADOW	Correction Officer	7	0	0	7
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	3	0	0	3
	GREAT MEADOW Total	10	0	0	10
GREEN HAVEN	Correction Officer	3	4	1	8
	Offender Rehab Coordinator	0	0	1	1
	Correction Officer	1	0	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	0	1	0	1
	GREEN HAVEN Total	4	5	2	11
GREENE	Correction Officer	4	22	7	33
	Nurse	0	1	1	2
	Correction Officer	0	1	0	1
	Correction Sergeant	1	0	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	1	0	0	1
	GREENE Total	6	24	8	38
GROVELAND	Cook	0	1	0	1
	Correction Officer	0	0	1	1
	GROVELAND Total	0	1	1	2
HALE CREEK	Correction Officer	0	0	1	1
	HALE CREEK Total	0	0	1	1
HUDSON	Correction Officer	3	0	3	6
	Correction Officer	1	0	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	1	0	0	1
	HUDSON Total	5	0	3	8
LAKEVIEW	Correction Officer	3	2	2	7
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	3	0	0	3
	LAKEVIEW Total	6	2	2	10
MARCY	Correction Officer	1	2	3	6
	Nurse	1	0	0	1
	MARCY Total	2	2	3	7
MID-STATE	Correction Officer	7	3	5	15
	Offender Rehab Coordinator	0	1	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	4	0	0	4
	MID-STATE Total	11	4	5	20



Table 10. Number of OSI Cases Closed - Cases with Accused Staff

January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

MOHAWK	Correction Sergeant	2	1	0	3
	Correction Officer	6	2	1	9
	Dental Assistant	1	0	0	1
	Deputy Superintendent	0	1	0	1
	Vocational Instructor	1	0	0	1
	Nursing Assistant	1	0	0	1
	Offend Rehab Coord	0	1	0	1
	Office Assistant	0	1	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	6	2	0	8
	MOHAWK Total	17	8	1	26
ORLEANS	Correction Officer	3	0	2	5
	Offender Rehab Coordinator	1	0	0	1
	ORLEANS Total	4	0	2	6
OTHER	Assistant Deputy Chief Investigator	0	1	0	1
	Associate Counsel	1	0	0	1
	Correction Officer	45	4	0	49
	Investigator	0	1	0	1
	Parole Officer	0	1	0	1
	Correction Sergeant	1	1	0	2
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	26	2	0	28
	OTHER Total	73	10	0	83
OTISVILLE	Correction Officer	1	0	0	1
	OTISVILLE Total	1	0	0	1
RIVERVIEW	Correction Officer	4	2	0	6
	Recreation Program Leader	0	1	0	1
	RIVERVIEW Total	4	3	0	7
SHAWANGUNK	Correction Officer	5	0	0	5
	Correction Officer	1	0	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	0	1	0	1
	SHAWANGUNK Total	6	1	0	7
SING SING	Correction Officer	3	1	0	4
	Dentist	0	1	0	1
	SING SING Total	3	2	0	5
SULLIVAN	Correction Officer	0	6	0	6
	SULLIVAN Total	0	6	0	6
TACONIC	Correction Officer	11	1	0	12
	Nurse	2	0	0	2
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	2	0	0	2
	TACONIC Total	15	1	0	16
ULSTER	Correction Officer	5	0	0	5
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	2	0	0	2
	ULSTER Total	7	0	0	7



Table 10. Number of OSI Cases Closed - Cases with Accused Staff

January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

UPSTATE	Correction Lieutenant	1	0	0	1
	Correction Sergeant	1	0	0	1
	Correction Officer	19	17	21	57
	Correction Officer	0	0	1	1
	Offender Rehab Coordinator	1	0	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	2	0	2	4
	UPSTATE Total	24	17	24	65
WALLKILL	Correction Officer	0	1	0	1
	WALLKILL Total	0	1	0	1
WASHINGTON	Correction Officer	3	9	4	16
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	1	1	0	2
	WASHINGTON Total	4	10	4	18
WENDE	Correction Sergeant	0	0	1	1
	Correction Officer	1	2	9	12
	Nurse	1	0	0	1
	Office Assistant	0	1	0	1
	Office Assistant	0	1	0	1
	Trades Generalist	0	1	0	1
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	0	0	1	1
WENDE Total	2	5	11	18	
WOODBOURNE	Physician	0	1	0	1
	Correction Officer	4	1	1	6
	Library Clerk	0	1	0	1
	Offender Rehab Coordinator	2	0	0	2
	Unknown/Unidentified Employee	2	0	0	2
	WOODBOURNE Total	8	3	1	12
WYOMING	Correction Sergeant	0	0	1	1
	Correction Officer	4	0	3	7
	WYOMING Total	4	0	4	8

Table 11. Number of OSI Cases Closed - Cases with Incarcerated Individuals
January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

Facility	Substantiated	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated
ADIRONDACK	0	0	2
ALBION	8	1	7
ALTONA	4	0	0
ATTICA	6	6	7
AUBURN	4	0	0
BARE HILL	0	0	0
BEDFORD HILLS	1	1	4
CAPE VINCENT	0	0	4
CAYUGA	7	0	2
CLINTON	34	0	28
COLLINS	4	0	7
COXSACKIE	18	1	2
EASTERN	21	0	6
EDGECOMBE	1	0	0
ELMIRA	11	0	4
FISHKILL	57	2	18
FIVE POINTS	17	0	7
FRANKLIN	0	0	3
GOUVERNEUR	1	0	0
GREAT MEADOW	0	0	1
GREEN HAVEN	11	1	2
GREENE	28	1	3
GROVELAND	13	1	3
HALE CREEK	0	0	0
HUDSON	1	0	0
LAKEVIEW	6	0	0
MARCY	4	0	2
MID-STATE	1	1	4
MOHAWK	9	1	4
ORLEANS	3	2	4
OTHER	12	0	1
OTISVILLE	13	0	0
QUEENSBORO	0	0	0
RIVERVIEW	0	0	0
SHAWANGUNK	1	0	0
SING SING	36	0	0
SULLIVAN	1	0	0
TACONIC	0	0	0
ULSTER	21	2	4
UPSTATE	3	0	3
WALLKILL	7	0	0
WASHINGTON	5	1	5
WENDE	2	1	4
WOODBOURNE	11	1	6
WYOMING	5	1	0
Totals	387	24	147



Table 12. Number of OSI Cases Closed - Cases with Civilians / Visitors
January 1, 2026 - March 31, 2026

Facility	Substantiated	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated
ADIRONDACK	1	0	1
ALBION	3	1	1
ALTONA	1	0	0
ATTICA	10	1	2
AUBURN	3	0	1
BARE HILL	1	0	0
BEDFORD HILLS	0	1	4
CAPE VINCENT	0	0	1
CAYUGA	8	0	0
CLINTON	22	0	11
COLLINS	11	0	2
COXSACKIE	5	0	1
EASTERN	19	1	3
EDGECOMBE	0	0	0
ELMIRA	23	0	2
FISHKILL	5	1	2
FIVE POINTS	12	1	4
FRANKLIN	2	0	1
GOUVERNEUR	3	0	0
GREAT MEADOW	0	0	2
GREEN HAVEN	16	0	0
GREENE	9	1	0
GROVELAND	19	0	1
HALE CREEK	0	0	0
HUDSON	0	0	0
LAKEVIEW	5	0	0
MARCY	12	0	0
MID-STATE	3	0	1
MOHAWK	7	0	1
ORLEANS	8	0	2
OTHER	43	1	5
OTISVILLE	13	0	0
QUEENSBORO	0	0	0
RIVERVIEW	5	1	1
SHAWANGUNK	2	0	0
SING SING	8	0	2
SULLIVAN	1	0	0
TACONIC	0	0	2
ULSTER	2	0	0
UPSTATE	3	0	1
WALKKILL	4	0	0
WASHINGTON	1	0	4
WENDE	6	1	2
WOODBOURNE	11	1	2
WYOMING	3	0	0
Totals	310	11	62