



# Corrections and Community Supervision

## Psychological Screening Program For Correction Officer Trainee Candidates

2025

# **PSYCHOLOGICAL SCREENING PROGRAM FOR CORRECTION OFFICER TRAINEE CANDIDATES 2025**

## **OVERVIEW**

This 40<sup>th</sup> report of the Psychological Screening Program for Correction Officer Trainee candidates summarizes the Program's activities for calendar year 2025.

### **A. Legislative Overview**

The objective of psychologically screening Correction Officer Trainee candidates is to identify those individuals with psychological disorders that could hinder job performance.

The statutory authorization for the Psychological Screening Program is set forth in Correction Law Section 8. It was originally enacted into law by Chapter 887 of the laws of 1983, with a two-year sunset clause. Over the years, the Legislature has regularly extended the sunset clause for this program, together with a whole host of other provisions of law that would expire unless extended. Most recently, pursuant to Chapter 55, Part A, Section 2, of the laws of 2023, the program was again extended and will remain in effect until September 2027. Section 8 also requires the Department to submit an annual report to the Governor and the Legislature "on the conduct of the psychological testing program and the results of such program in improving the quality of correction officer candidates."

### **B. Program Overview**

#### **1. Consultant Contract and Project Staffing**

Law Enforcement Psychological Services, Inc. (LEPS) was contracted to conduct the screenings, evaluate the candidates, and prepare the psychological reports from October 2019 through September 2025. The current contractual agreement with LEPS was extended through April 2030.

#### **2. Candidate Evaluation Process**

Section 8 of the New York State Correction Law sets forth the criteria for assessing the psychological suitability of Correction Officer Trainee candidates, which may result in a finding of being "not recommended" for appointment. This assessment constitutes a proposed disqualification that is subject to appeal and does not immediately bar a candidate, as other components of the employee selection process may be considered to establish eligibility. Subdivision two, in part states:

"Persons who have been determined by a psychologist licensed under the laws of this state as suffering from psychotic disorders, serious character disorders, or other disorders which could hinder performance on the job may be deemed ineligible for appointment; provided, however, that other components of the employee selection process may be taken into consideration in reaching the determination as to whether a candidate is deemed eligible or ineligible for certification to a list of eligible candidates."

The following discussion specifies the various aspects of the assessment program under the screening contract with LEPS.

**ASPECTS OF CANDIDATE ASSESSMENT  
UNDER LAW ENFORCEMENT PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES, INC.**

Vendor	Psychological Test/Battery	Rating Dimensions	Six Point Scale
LEPS June 1999-Present	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. California Psychological Inventory</li> <li>2. Personality Assessment Inventory</li> <li>3. State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory</li> <li>4. Personal History Questionnaire - LEPS/Roberts</li> </ol>	12 rating dimensions	6 point scale including 4 suitability ratings and 2 unsuitability ratings

Since June 1999, the candidate assessment has consisted of a two-day procedure.

On Day One, each candidate is given a psychological test battery consisting of:

1. California Psychological Inventory (CPI)
2. Personality Assessment Inventory (PAI)
3. State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory (STAXI)
4. Personal History Questionnaire – LEPS/Roberts

The tests are scored by the vendor.

On Day Two, the candidate has a face-to-face structured clinical interview with a licensed psychologist.

Since October 15, 2005, candidates are evaluated by their interviewing psychologists on twelve different rating dimensions, which are reflective of overall psychological functioning and adjustment. (For the procedures used prior to this date, refer to the 2005 annual report.)

The twelve rating dimensions are:

1. Social Competence
2. Teamwork
3. Adaptability/Flexibility
4. Conscientiousness/Dependability
5. Impulse Control/Attention to Safety
6. Integrity/Ethics
7. Emotional Regulation and Stress Tolerance
8. Decision-Making and Judgment
9. Assertiveness/Persuasiveness
10. Avoiding Substance Abuse and Other Risk-Taking Behavior
11. Problem Solving/Learning
12. Communication Skills

These twelve dimensions are termed “Anticipated Performance Problems on Essential Job Elements for Public Safety Officer Positions.” This job element list is derived from the State of California Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission job task analysis.

In January of 2017, DOCCS in conjunction with LEPS implemented an improved tolerance screening to better identify those applicants who may indicate a bias against other groups. The screening includes the following:

- The applicant’s score on a subscale of the CPI measuring tolerance for other’s differences;
- Questions about negative experiences with people from other backgrounds;
- A discussion of any groups the applicant has biases against or negative feelings toward.

Any significant results of this assessment are included within the Social Competence dimension of the rating system.

Upon conclusion of the structured interview, the interviewer integrates findings from the interview, psychological tests, and personal history questionnaire to determine the level, if any, of anticipated performance problems for each candidate. The interviewer thereby arrives at a final overall psychological rating for the candidate.

The vendor uses a six-category rating scale. The first four categories each constitute a “recommend” of the candidate for hire. These first four categories include the following:

- A. **WELL SUITED:** The applicant’s psychological traits are expected to contribute to above standard performance of essential job functions.
- B. **SUITABLE:** The applicant’s psychological traits are not expected to interfere with the performance of essential job functions.
- C. **SUITABLE:** There are mild concerns that psychological traits could interfere with the optimal performance of essential job functions.
- C-. **MARGINALLY SUITABLE:** There are moderate concerns that psychological traits could interfere with the optimal performance of essential job functions.

The fifth and sixth categories each constitute a “do not recommend” of the candidate for hire, as follows:

- D. **POORLY SUITED:** Psychological traits have been identified that are expected to significantly interfere with the performance of essential job functions.
- F. **NOT PSYCHOLOGICALLY SUITED:** for public safety employment.

Based on a recommendation against hiring, a notification letter is subsequently sent to the Correction Officer Trainee candidate as a Department finding of psychological unsuitability. As part of this notification, the Department informs the candidate of their right to appeal. The psychologically unsuitable candidate may appeal the Department's decision to a three-member Independent Advisory Board selected by the President of the Civil Service Commission.

This Board is composed of a licensed psychologist, a board-certified psychiatrist, and a representative of the NYS Department of Civil Service. This Board's recommendation to continue or overturn a psychological disqualification marks the final determination of psychological eligibility to be hired from that Civil Service List.

**C. Applicant Processing Data: 2011-2025**

The following table presents applicant psychological processing data for the period from 2011 through 2025. Specifically, information is presented on the number of Correction Officer Trainee candidates psychologically tested on an annual basis, the number of candidates who were psychologically disqualified, the number who appealed their psychological disqualifications and the number of appeals that resulted in the disqualification being overturned.

YEAR	PSYCHOLOGICALLY TESTED	PSYCHOLOGICALLY DISQUALIFIED*		PSYCH DQ APPEALS	APPEAL BOARD OVERTURNS
		#	%		
2011	2	0	0%	204	16
2012	2,451	760	31%	343	10
2013	2,282	687	30%	385	17
2014	3,807	895	24%	567	45
2015	3,805	842	22%	573	45
2016	3,436	906	26%	706	44
2017	3,450	778	23%	667	39
2018	2,832	514	18%	489	22
2019	3,837	795	21%	332	5
2020	1,240	233	19%	130	1
2021	2,858	510	18%	330	3
2022	2,077	633	31%	425	0
2023	1,891	411	22%	463	1
2024	1,696	557	33%	313	0
2025	2,565	777	30%	273	0

\* Of those candidates who had completed the screening process at the time of the report.

SOURCE: NYS DOCCS Bureau of Personnel

In 2025, 2,565 Correction Officer Trainee candidates were psychologically tested; 777 candidates were found to be psychologically unsuitable. At the time of this report, the Advisory Board had overturned 0 or <1% of the 273 psychological unsuitability appeals.

## D. Probationary Termination Study

Correction Officer Trainee appointees are tracked for termination during the one-year probationary period by matching all appointees against a list of Correction Officer Trainee probationary terminations at the Training Academy and in the facilities. This one-year probationary period may be extended based on absences.

During 2024, there were 597 Correction Officer Trainee appointments and a total of 58 probationary terminations occurred among these appointments, resulting in an 10% probationary termination rate. 43 of these terminations were Training Academy terminations; the other 15 terminations occurred while the probationary officers were working in correctional facilities. The reasons for the terminations are as follows:

<b>A) Training Academy Terminations</b>	<b>43</b>	
1. Academic Disqualification		23*
2. Weapons Disqualification		11*
3. Engaged in Unlawful Activity		0
4. Physical Fitness Failure		1
5. Conduct Unbecoming		1
6. Attendance Issues		4
7. Performance of duty		0
8. CPR/First Aid		2*
9. Defensive Tactics		1*
<b>B) Facility Terminations</b>	<b>15</b>	
1. Off-duty Issues/Arrest		2
2. Time and Attendance		6
3. Performance of duty		4
4. Conduct Unbecoming		3
<b>Total</b>	<b>58</b>	

\*Of the 43 probationary terminations that occurred at the Training Academy due to Academic (4), Weapons (2), CPR/First Aid disqualifications (1) and Defensive Tactics (1), 8 were subsequently reinstated and successfully completed the academy.

## CONCLUSION

In accordance with the statutory requirement, this report concerns the operation of the Psychological Screening Program in 2025.

As discussed in this report, the program has operated in compliance with the governing statute during this time period and successfully reviewed the pool of Correction Officer Trainee candidates required to meet the Department's personnel needs.

Based on this year's rate of probationary terminations, the Department's Bureau of Personnel continues to believe that the Psychological Screening Program represents a very effective means of identifying suitable candidates for employment as Correction Officers in New York State.

Prepared by:

Chad Powell  
Administrative Specialist II  
Psychological Screening Unit

January 2026