



Corrections and Community Supervision

Proximity To Minor Children Legislative Report 2023

Proximity to Minor Children - 2023

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Table of Contents.....	1
Introduction	2
Section 1: Incarcerated Individuals with Minor Children Under Custody on June 1, 2023 .	5
Number and Proportion of the Total UC Population	5
Sex, Age and Current Housing Facility	6
County of Commitment	7
Section 2: Incarcerated Individuals with Minor Children Requesting PMC Placement.....	8
PMC Placement Status: Requests from June 2022 to May 2023	9
Sex, Age, and Assessment Facility of Individuals Requesting PMC Placement Between June 2022 and May 2023	10
Section 3: Status of Incarcerated Individuals with Minor Children who Requested PMC Placement	11
Facilities of PMC Transfer: June 2022 - May 2023	12
Denial and Cancellation Reasons for PMC Transfer Request	13
Current Facility Of Individuals in a PMC Placement as of June 1, 2023	14
Conclusion	15

Proximity to Minor Children Legislative Report - 2023

Introduction

In 2020, Section 72-C was added to Correction Law to address the facility placement of individuals in DOCCS' custody with minor children. This new law, which became effective on December 23, 2021, requires DOCCS to place incarcerated individuals in a facility as close to their minor children as possible, whenever practicable. To address this requirement, procedures were developed to ensure that all parents of minor children under custody have an opportunity for such placement. DOCCS implemented these procedures prior to the effective date of the legislation. For purposes of this law, a minor child is defined as a biological, step or adopted child, who has not yet reached the age of eighteen.

As part of the initial assessment screening that takes place at the first general confinement facility, incarcerated individuals are assessed to determine if they have any minor children and, if so, whether or not they are interested in being placed in a correctional facility as close as possible to where the child(ren) resides. If an individual expresses interest in a proximity to minor child (PMC) placement, a transfer referral is initiated. Proximity to minor children transfer referrals are reviewed to determine the best interest of the child and whether a transfer is practicable. To ensure all incarcerated individuals had an opportunity for PMC transfer consideration, all individuals under DOCCS custody were assessed during 2022. Excludable criteria includes:

- Conviction of a crime against the child(ren) in question
- Active order of protection involving the child(ren) and/or the custodial parent or guardian of the child in question

In order for a proximity to minor child transfer review to proceed, the following is required:

- Child/children and custodial parent/legal guardian information is documented
- Questionnaire for Proximity to Child transfer response is received from the parent/legal guardian
- The child(ren) must reside in New York State or a bordering state
- An individual must *not* be currently serving a disciplinary confinement sanction
- An individual must *not* be currently in a specialized treatment program (e.g., SOCTP, CASAT, DWI treatment)
- An individual must be more than five months to a scheduled Parole Board appearance or release

Upon completion of the best interest of the child determination, written notification is provided to the requesting incarcerated individual by the Offender Rehabilitation Counselor (ORC). For approved requests, facility placement in close proximity to the child(ren) must be deemed suitable and appropriate, taking into consideration: security classification, mental health status, medical needs, facility bed space, and movement availability.

The status of a proximity to minor child transfer request will be discussed with the assigned ORC at scheduled quarterly Case Plan interviews. A transfer request can be approved, denied, or cancelled after initial approval.

Also, after an individual receives a proximity to minor child transfer, a reverse transfer will occur under any of the following circumstances:

- Refusal to participate in any mandatory program
- Two negative removals from the same mandatory program
- Sanction of 30 days or more disciplinary confinement within one year period
- Two Tier 3 hearing guilty findings within a one year period
- Four Tier 2 hearing guilty findings within a one year period

A reverse transfer from proximity to minor child placement for the above reasons will render the individual ineligible for another proximity to minor child transfer for at least one year from date of removal.

As required by Correction Law Section 72-C, this annual report provides general information about the number of incarcerated persons who are parents of minor children, the aggregate number of incarcerated parents by county of commitments, the number of incarcerated parents who are placed in a correctional facility in closest proximity to their children, the location of such facilities, the number of individuals for whom proximal placement was not provided (along with general reasons), and the number of parents who declined proximal placement.

DOCCS developed and implemented procedures for PMC assessment and transfer prior to the legislation's effective date. As a result, this report covers PMC activity between June 2022 and May 2023.

At the time that this report was produced, some operational aspects of the program were being modified. Future reports will provide additional data where possible.

Other Department Measures to Enhance Parent/Child Relationships

Separate and aside from the requirements of Correction Law Section 72-c, it is to be noted that the Department accords an enormous priority to the preservation, maintenance and sustenance of all family relationships, especially that of parent and child. This is evidenced by the following: i) the creation of child-friendly areas of facility visiting rooms that have toys where parents can spend quality time playing with their young children; ii) the establishment of Visitor Processing Centers for the convenience of arriving visitors; iii) the scheduling of Celebrate Your Children special events at selected facilities; iv) the refurbishing of Family Reunion Program sites to make them welcoming and home-like to enrich the family visitation experience; v) the operation of the Nursery Program, the Children's Program and the Summer Program at Bedford Hills Correctional Facility, all of which nurture the mother and child bond in many important ways; vi) the delivery of tele-visiting services at various facilities through a contract with the Osborne Association; vii) the availability of the Family Works Program, also by the Osborne

Association; viii) the recent development by the Department of its own parenting program; and ix) at several facilities, an initiative where the facility will record a parent reading a children's story, which is then sent to the home of the child, who can listen to the story as recorded by their parent.

Section 1: Incarcerated Individuals with Minor Children Under Custody on June 1, 2023

- Among the 31,891 incarcerated individuals under custody on June 1, 2023, 13,839 (43%) had children of any age (Table 1).
- 10,566 (33.1%) reported having at least one minor child (Table 1).
- Nearly half (46%) of incarcerated individuals with minor children were between the ages of 30 and 39 as of June 1, 2023 (Table 2).
- Fishkill and Sing Sing both had the highest number of incarcerated individuals reporting minor children (510 at each), comprising a total of 10% of incarcerated individuals with minor children (Table 2).
- Consistent with the total custody population, approximately 4% of the individuals who reported having minor children were female (Table 3).
- Among males, the largest proportions of individuals under custody reporting minor children were convicted in New York County, followed by those in Kings County (Table 3).
- Among females, the largest proportions of individuals under custody reporting minor children were convicted in Monroe County, followed by those in Erie County (Table 3).

TABLE 1. INCARCERATED INDIVIDUAL SELF-REPORTED PARENTHOOD; UNDER CUSTODY JUNE 1, 2023

	Frequency	Percent
Minor Children	10,566	33.1%
Non-Minor Children	1,861	5.8%
Child Age Unknown	1,412	4.4%
No Children	11,132	34.9%
Declined to Answer	4,561	14.3%
Unknown	2,359	7.4%
Total	31,891	100.0%

**TABLE 2. SEX AND AGE BY CURRENT HOUSING FACILITY
 INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS WITH MINOR CHILDREN; UNDERCUSTODY JUNE 1, 2023**

SEX AND CURRENT HOUSING FACILITY		Age as of June 1, 2023						Total	
		18-24	25-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+		
MALE	ADIRONDACK	0	0	0	0	6	4	10	0.1%
	ALTONA	8	19	57	20	8	0	112	1.1%
	ATTICA	21	87	218	104	24	3	457	4.3%
	AUBURN	14	42	153	60	24	1	294	2.8%
	BARE HILL	34	61	156	62	10	1	324	3.1%
	CAPE VINCENT	16	32	81	36	9	0	174	1.6%
	CAYUGA	18	61	132	80	8	0	299	2.8%
	CLINTON	19	53	144	93	35	0	344	3.3%
	COLLINS	19	59	174	84	25	3	364	3.4%
	COXSACKIE	17	26	62	31	7	2	145	1.4%
	EASTERN	3	21	125	110	23	6	288	2.7%
	ELMIRA	9	53	123	51	11	1	248	2.3%
	FISHKILL	18	75	242	134	35	6	510	4.8%
	FIVE POINTS	36	37	98	45	11	2	229	2.2%
	FRANKLIN	44	36	113	60	13	1	267	2.5%
	GOUVERNEUR	28	63	123	42	9	1	266	2.5%
	GREAT MEADOW	18	26	71	47	8	0	170	1.6%
	GREEN HAVEN	19	59	207	82	44	5	416	3.9%
	GREENE	73	73	162	92	45	5	450	4.3%
	GROVELAND	5	50	128	46	17	5	251	2.4%
	HALE CREEK	5	18	42	24	3	1	93	0.9%
	HUDSON	5	15	20	11	5	0	56	0.5%
	LAKEVIEW	7	11	19	11	1	0	49	0.5%
	MARCY	40	33	126	63	15	3	280	2.7%
	MIDSTATE	21	64	178	76	17	3	359	3.4%
	MOHAWK	25	73	184	90	24	5	401	3.8%
	ORLEANS	29	73	142	65	12	0	321	3.0%
	OTISVILLE	6	30	107	59	20	1	223	2.1%
	QUEENSBORO	4	10	29	14	3	1	61	0.6%
	RIVERVIEW	17	38	63	36	8	1	163	1.5%
	SHAWANGUNK	2	14	53	41	13	2	125	1.2%
	SING SING	8	54	244	156	39	9	510	4.8%
	SULLIVAN	1	18	41	30	7	1	98	0.9%
ULSTER	3	23	43	18	8	1	96	0.9%	
UPSTATE	62	98	147	44	6	1	358	3.4%	
WALLKILL	6	22	104	78	18	4	232	2.2%	
WASHINGTON	34	36	85	49	7	0	211	2.0%	
WENDE	15	40	111	52	16	2	236	2.2%	
WOODBOURNE	8	20	98	71	18	3	218	2.1%	
WYOMING	70	65	163	79	17	5	399	3.8%	
	Subtotal	787	1,688	4,568	2,346	629	89	10,107	95.7%
FEMALE	ALBION	15	32	113	52	10	0	222	2.1%
	BEDFORD HILLS	3	21	82	31	4	0	141	1.3%
	EDGECOMBE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0.0%
	LAKEVIEW	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0.0%
	TACONIC	5	19	41	19	6	1	91	0.9%
		Subtotal	23	72	241	102	20	1	459
GRAND TOTAL		810	1,760	4,809	2,448	649	90	10,566	100.0%
		7.7%	16.7%	45.5%	23.2%	6.1%	0.9%	100.0%	

**TABLE 3. COUNTY OF COMMITMENT BY SEX
INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS UNDER CUSTODY JUNE 1, 2023**

COUNTY OF COMMITMENT	MALE		FEMALE		TOTAL	
ALBANY	358	3.5%	4	0.9%	362	3.4%
ALLEGANY	12	0.1%	2	0.4%	14	0.1%
BRONX	671	6.6%	13	2.8%	684	6.5%
BROOME	200	2.0%	18	3.9%	218	2.1%
CATTARAUGUS	33	0.3%	2	0.4%	35	0.3%
CAYUGA	76	0.8%	7	1.5%	83	0.8%
CHAUTAUQUA	51	0.5%	5	1.1%	56	0.5%
CHEMUNG	129	1.3%	6	1.3%	135	1.3%
CHENANGO	26	0.3%	3	0.7%	29	0.3%
CLINTON	84	0.8%	8	1.7%	92	0.9%
COLUMBIA	25	0.2%	3	0.7%	28	0.3%
CORTLAND	36	0.4%	1	0.2%	37	0.4%
DELAWARE	8	0.1%	0	0.0%	8	0.1%
DUTCHESS	170	1.7%	5	1.1%	175	1.7%
ERIE	660	6.5%	30	6.5%	690	6.5%
ESSEX	23	0.2%	2	0.4%	25	0.2%
FRANKLIN	29	0.3%	7	1.5%	36	0.3%
FULTON	32	0.3%	2	0.4%	34	0.3%
GENESEE	63	0.6%	3	0.7%	66	0.6%
GREENE	25	0.2%	2	0.4%	27	0.3%
HERKIMER	26	0.3%	2	0.4%	28	0.3%
JEFFERSON	74	0.7%	7	1.5%	81	0.8%
KINGS	895	8.9%	21	4.6%	916	8.7%
LEWIS	16	0.2%	3	0.7%	19	0.2%
LIVINGSTON	25	0.2%	3	0.7%	28	0.3%
MADISON	47	0.5%	2	0.4%	49	0.5%
MONROE	735	7.3%	34	7.4%	769	7.3%
MONTGOMERY	38	0.4%	1	0.2%	39	0.4%
NASSAU	310	3.1%	9	2.0%	319	3.0%
NEW YORK	1,132	11.2%	21	4.6%	1,153	10.9%
NIAGARA	167	1.7%	13	2.8%	180	1.7%
ONEIDA	278	2.8%	14	3.1%	292	2.8%
ONONDAGA	456	4.5%	20	4.4%	476	4.5%
ONTARIO	91	0.9%	5	1.1%	96	0.9%
ORANGE	258	2.6%	16	3.5%	274	2.6%
ORLEANS	29	0.3%	3	0.7%	32	0.3%
OSWEGO	84	0.8%	11	2.4%	95	0.9%
OTSEGO	34	0.3%	2	0.4%	36	0.3%
PUTNAM	16	0.2%	2	0.4%	18	0.2%
QUEENS	705	7.0%	20	4.4%	725	6.9%
RENSSELAER	90	0.9%	6	1.3%	96	0.9%
RICHMOND	190	1.9%	6	1.3%	196	1.9%
ROCKLAND	60	0.6%	2	0.4%	62	0.6%
ST LAWRENCE	77	0.8%	7	1.5%	84	0.8%
SARATOGA	89	0.9%	8	1.7%	97	0.9%
SCHENECTADY	210	2.1%	11	2.4%	221	2.1%
SCHOHARIE	5	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	0.0%
SCHUYLER	13	0.1%	1	0.2%	14	0.1%
SENECA	12	0.1%	2	0.4%	14	0.1%
STEUBEN	78	0.8%	11	2.4%	89	0.8%
SUFFOLK	427	4.2%	17	3.7%	444	4.2%
SULLIVAN	95	0.9%	10	2.2%	105	1.0%
TIOGA	22	0.2%	3	0.7%	25	0.2%
TOMPKINS	37	0.4%	1	0.2%	38	0.4%
ULSTER	114	1.1%	5	1.1%	119	1.1%
WARREN	41	0.4%	4	0.9%	45	0.4%
WASHINGTON	48	0.5%	9	2.0%	57	0.5%
WAYNE	46	0.5%	3	0.7%	49	0.5%
WESTCHESTER	288	2.8%	13	2.8%	301	2.8%
WYOMING	20	0.2%	5	1.1%	25	0.2%
YATES	18	0.2%	3	0.7%	21	0.2%
GRAND TOTAL	10,107	100.0%	459	100.0%	10,566	100.0%
	95.7%		4.3%		100.0%	

Section 2: Incarcerated Individuals with Minor Children Requesting PMC Placement

- Among individuals assessed between June 2022 and May 2023, about two-thirds of those with minor children (65%) requested placement closer to a minor child (Table 4).
- 31% of individuals at female facilities with minor children requested placement closer to a minor child compared with 67% at male facilities (Table 4). This finding is not surprising because there are only three female facilities statewide so there are few alternative facilities to which females can be transferred.
- Greene had the largest number of incarcerated individuals requesting placement closer to their minor children (293), while Upstate had the largest proportion of individuals with minor children requesting placement (91%) (Table 5).

**TABLE 4. PMC PLACEMENT REQUEST STATUS BY FACILITY AT TIME OF ASSESSMENT
INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS WITH MINOR CHILDREN; ASSESSED JUNE 2022 THRU MAY 2023**

FACILITY AT THE TIME OF ASSESSMENT		NOT REQUESTING PLACEMENT		REQUESTING PLACEMENT		TOTAL
MALE	ADIRONDACK	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	7
	ALTONA	72	59.0%	50	41.0%	122
	ATTICA	77	27.8%	200	72.2%	277
	AUBURN	59	27.4%	156	72.6%	215
	BARE HILL	129	40.3%	191	59.7%	320
	CAPE VINCENT	91	50.6%	89	49.4%	180
	CAYUGA	39	23.5%	127	76.5%	166
	CLINTON	80	28.2%	204	71.8%	284
	COLLINS	106	39.4%	163	60.6%	269
	COXSACKIE	20	18.5%	88	81.5%	108
	EASTERN	41	47.7%	45	52.3%	86
	EDGECOMBE	0	--	0	--	0
	ELMIRA	51	23.2%	169	76.8%	220
	FISHKILL	68	19.0%	290	81.0%	358
	FIVE POINTS	46	26.3%	129	73.7%	175
	FRANKLIN	84	29.6%	200	70.4%	284
	GOUVERNEUR	54	36.7%	93	63.3%	147
	GREAT MEADOW	23	20.0%	92	80.0%	115
	GREEN HAVEN	81	32.4%	169	67.6%	250
	GREENE	92	23.9%	293	76.1%	385
	GROVELAND	123	47.3%	137	52.7%	260
	HALE CREEK	61	38.9%	96	61.1%	157
	HUDSON	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	12
	MARCY	104	41.3%	148	58.7%	252
	MIDSTATE	117	41.3%	166	58.7%	283
	MOHAWK	146	43.5%	190	56.5%	336
	ORLEANS	74	38.5%	118	61.5%	192
	OTISVILLE	34	18.1%	154	81.9%	188
	QUEENSBORO	49	47.1%	55	52.9%	104
	RIVERVIEW	58	39.2%	90	60.8%	148
	SHAWANGUNK	21	26.6%	58	73.4%	79
	SING SING	23	9.7%	214	90.3%	237
	SULLIVAN	20	27.0%	54	73.0%	74
	ULSTER	28	53.8%	24	46.2%	52
	UPSTATE	3	8.8%	31	91.2%	34
	WALLKILL	33	20.2%	130	79.8%	163
	WASHINGTON	87	40.7%	127	59.3%	214
	WENDE	57	41.3%	81	58.7%	138
	WOODBORNE	68	44.7%	84	55.3%	152
	WYOMING	157	40.4%	232	59.6%	389
	Subtotal	2,488	33.5%	4,944	66.5%	7,432
			33.5%		66.5%	100.0%
FEMALE	ALBION	137	82.0%	30	18.0%	167
	BEDFORD HILLS	34	50.0%	34	50.0%	68
	TACONIC	43	57.3%	32	42.7%	75
	Subtotal	214	69.0%	96	31.0%	310
			69.0%		31.0%	100.0%
	Grand Total	2,702	100.0%	5,040	100.0%	7,742
			34.9%		65.1%	100.0%

**TABLE 5. AGE AT ASSESSMENT BY FACILITY AT TIME OF ASSESSMENT
 INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS REQUESTING PMC PLACEMENT; ASSESSED JUNE 2022 THRU MAY 2023**

FACILITY AT THE TIME OF ASSESSMENT		Age at Assessment						Total	
		18-24	25-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+		
MALE	ADIRONDACK	0	0	0	0	4	1	5	0.1%
	ALTONA	4	5	33	7	1	0	50	1.0%
	ATTICA	16	42	107	29	5	1	200	4.0%
	AUBURN	14	35	79	24	4	0	156	3.1%
	BARE HILL	19	42	93	32	4	1	191	3.8%
	CAPE VINCENT	7	26	37	15	4	0	89	1.8%
	CAYUGA	15	33	53	24	2	0	127	2.5%
	CLINTON	21	54	88	32	9	0	204	4.0%
	COLLINS	12	31	73	36	11	0	163	3.2%
	COXSACKIE	11	24	40	12	1	0	88	1.7%
	EASTERN	2	9	25	6	3	0	45	0.9%
	EDGECOMBE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
	ELMIRA	10	45	79	30	5	0	169	3.4%
	FISHKILL	16	54	132	66	20	2	290	5.8%
	FIVE POINTS	19	35	50	21	3	1	129	2.6%
	FRANKLIN	42	44	73	35	6	0	200	4.0%
	GOUVERNEUR	10	26	41	9	7	0	93	1.8%
	GREAT MEADOW	13	23	35	18	3	0	92	1.8%
	GREEN HAVEN	11	32	82	31	11	2	169	3.4%
	GREENE	67	48	102	54	21	1	293	5.8%
	GROVELAND	7	31	68	20	10	1	137	2.7%
	HALE CREEK	9	14	43	27	3	0	96	1.9%
	HUDSON	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0.0%
	MARCY	26	29	62	26	5	0	148	2.9%
	MIDSTATE	13	35	78	35	3	2	166	3.3%
	MOHAWK	19	47	83	32	7	2	190	3.8%
	ORLEANS	12	30	53	19	4	0	118	2.3%
	OTISVILLE	9	23	70	40	12	0	154	3.1%
	QUEENSBORO	1	14	18	17	4	1	55	1.1%
	RIVERVIEW	13	24	31	17	4	1	90	1.8%
	SHAWANGUNK	4	10	22	18	3	1	58	1.2%
	SING SING	7	37	113	48	8	1	214	4.2%
	SULLIVAN	2	12	18	18	4	0	54	1.1%
	ULSTER	0	6	12	4	1	1	24	0.5%
	UPSTATE	3	8	14	6	0	0	31	0.6%
	WALLKILL	8	20	59	36	7	0	130	2.6%
	WASHINGTON	27	26	43	26	5	0	127	2.5%
	WENDE	10	14	42	14	1	0	81	1.6%
	WOODBOURNE	4	12	37	23	8	0	84	1.7%
	WYOMING	63	41	89	29	10	0	232	4.6%
Subtotal	546	1,041	2,179	936	223	19	4,944	98.1%	
FEMALE	ALBION	1	5	17	7	0	0	30	0.6%
	BEDFORD HILLS	5	6	19	4	0	0	34	0.7%
	TACONIC	3	8	8	11	2	0	32	0.6%
	Subtotal	9	19	44	22	2	0	96	1.9%
Grand Total		555	1,060	2,223	958	225	19	5,040	100.0%
		11.0%	21.0%	44.1%	19.0%	4.5%	0.4%	100.0%	

Section 3: Status of Incarcerated Individuals with Minor Children who Requested

PMC Placement

- In order for a PMC transfer request to be approved, several criteria must be met:
 - The incarcerated individual must, in addition to stating their desire to be transferred, submit contact information for the child(ren) and their guardian as well as identifying information for the child(ren) (date of birth, name, relationship, etc.)
 - The ORC then investigates for potential exclusionary factors such as conviction of a crime against the child(ren) or an active order of protection involving the child(ren)
 - If no such exclusionary factors exist, the ORC will contact the child(ren)'s guardian to further determine if the transfer is in the best interests of the child(ren) using a form the guardian must return to the Guidance Unit of the incarcerated individual's housing facility
 - Once the above are completed, or the ORC and SORC have sufficient cause to believe the transfer is not in the best interests of the child, a determination will be made and the transfer will be approved or denied as appropriate
- Over 1,900 PMC transfers took place between June 2022 and May 2023 (Table 6). Fishkill was the most common destination for PMC transfers during that time period, with 393 (20%) of the transfers (Table 6).
- Among those whose PMC transfer request was denied or cancelled, the most common reason was that it was determined to not be in the best interest of the child(ren) (23%), followed by being replaced by a new referral (19%). For 8% of cases, the transfer request did not take place because it was determined that the present placement was appropriate (e.g. the individual was already housed in the closest appropriate facility) (Table 7).
- Among those under custody on June 1, 2023, whose most recent transfer was for placement closer to minor children, the most common destination was Fishkill (18%) followed by Sing Sing (16%) (Table 8).

TABLE 6. DESTINATION OF REFERRALS FOR PMC TRANSFERS BETWEEN JUNE 2022 AND MAY 2023

FACILITY	PMC Transfers	Total
ALBION	4	0.2%
ALTONA	1	0.1%
ATTICA	35	1.8%
AUBURN	26	1.3%
BARE HILL	5	0.3%
BEDFORD HILLS	2	0.1%
CAPE VINCENT	8	0.4%
CAYUGA	94	4.8%
CLINTON	3	0.2%
COLLINS	72	3.7%
COXSACKIE	17	0.9%
EASTERN	18	0.9%
ELMIRA	20	1.0%
FISHKILL	393	20.3%
FIVE POINTS	11	0.6%
FRANKLIN	8	0.4%
GOUVERNEUR	6	0.3%
GREAT MEADOW	23	1.2%
GREEN HAVEN	82	4.2%
GREENE	63	3.2%
GROVELAND	57	2.9%
MARCY	41	2.1%
MIDSTATE	15	0.8%
MOHAWK	70	3.6%
ORLEANS	104	5.4%
OTISVILLE	134	6.9%
RIVERVIEW	2	0.1%
SHAWANAGUNK	34	1.8%
SING SING	270	13.9%
SULLIVAN	25	1.3%
TACONIC	10	0.5%
ULSTER	1	0.1%
UPSTATE	1	0.1%
WALLKILL	119	6.1%
WASHINGTON	20	1.0%
WENDE	41	2.1%
WOODBOURNE	43	2.2%
WYOMING	61	3.1%
TOTAL	1,939	100.0%

**TABLE 7. REASON FOR DENIAL OR CANCELLATION OF PMC TRANSFER REQUEST
PMC REFERRALS DENIED OR CANCELLED JUNE 2022 THROUGH MAY 2023**

	Frequency	Percent
CRIME RE: CHILD(REN) IN QUESTION	5	1.2%
NOT IN BEST INTEREST OF CHILD(REN)	91	22.7%
POOR CUSTODIAL ADJUSTMENT	50	12.5%
PRESENT PLACEMENT APPROPRIATE	30	7.5%
PROTECTION ORDER RE: CHILD/GUARDIAN	38	9.5%
RELEASED OR DISCHARGED	9	2.2%
REPLACED BY NEW REFERRAL	74	18.5%
REQUEST WITHDRAWN BY INCARCERATED INDIVIDUAL	34	8.5%
TOO CLOSE TO PAROLE BOARD OR RELEASE	35	8.7%
TRANSFER NOT PRACTICABLE	30	7.5%
OTHER	5	1.2%
Total	401	100.0%

**TABLE 8. CURRENT FACILITY OF PMC TRANSFEREES;
UNDER CUSTODY ON JUNE 1, 2023**

CURRENT FACILITY	# Transferred for PMC	% Total
ADIRONDACK	0	0.0%
ALBION	4	0.2%
ATTICA	39	1.8%
AUBURN	29	1.4%
BARE HILL	7	0.3%
BEDFORD HILLS	2	0.1%
CAPE VINCENT	9	0.4%
CAYUGA	109	5.1%
CLINTON	4	0.2%
COLLINS	72	3.4%
COXSACKIE	17	0.8%
EASTERN	29	1.4%
ELMIRA	20	0.9%
FISHKILL	386	18.2%
FIVE POINTS	13	0.6%
FRANKLIN	7	0.3%
GOUVERNEUR	3	0.1%
GREAT MEADOW	17	0.8%
GREEN HAVEN	112	5.3%
GREENE	65	3.1%
GROVELAND	45	2.1%
MARCY	38	1.8%
MIDSTATE	17	0.8%
MOHAWK	65	3.1%
ORLEANS	87	4.1%
OTISVILLE	160	7.5%
RIVERVIEW	4	0.2%
SHAWANGUNK	46	2.2%
SING SING	342	16.1%
SULLIVAN	29	1.4%
TACONIC	16	0.8%
ULSTER	5	0.2%
UPSTATE	1	1.9%
WALLKILL	139	6.5%
WASHINGTON	17	0.8%
WENDE	54	2.5%
WOODBOURNE	63	3.0%
WYOMING	54	2.5%
Total	2,126	100.0%

Conclusion

As detailed in this report, the Department is fully committed to ensuring full compliance with the requirements of Correction Law Section 72-c, which is yet one more important measure, among many other well-established Department measures, that are designed to preserve and enhance the parent/child relationship.

Prepared by:

Division of Program Planning,
Research and Evaluation